EPA-823-R-01-01

EPA

The Incidence And Severity of Sediment Contamination In Surface Waters Of The United States

National Sediment Quality Survey: Second Edition

DRAFT

December, 2001

Office of Science and Technology
Standards and Health Protection Division
United States Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20460

Disclaimer

The *National Sediment Quality Survey* is a screening-level assessment of sediment quality that compiles and evaluates sediment chemistry and related biological data taken from existing databases. The data and information contained in this document could be used in various EPA regulatory programs for priority setting or other purposes after further evaluation for program-specific criteria. However, this document has no immediate or direct regulatory consequence. It does not in itself establish any legally binding requirements on the U.S. EPA, states, tribes, other regulatory authorities, or the regulated community. It does not establish or affect legal rights or obligations, or represent a determination of any party's liability.

Contents

Executive Summary xi

Chapter 1. Introduction 1-1

What is the National Sediment Quality Survey? 1-1

Why is Contaminated Sediment An Important National Issue? 1-3

How Significant is The Problem? 1-4

Chapter 2. Methodology 2-1

Description of NSI Data 2-4

NSI Data Evaluation Approach 2-10

Strengths of the NSI Data Evaluation 2-17

Limitations of the NSI Data Evaluation 2-17

Chapter 3. Findings 3-1

National Assessment 3-1

Watershed Assessment 3-7

Regional and State Assessment 3-15

Evaluation of Data from First Report to Congress with Current Methodology 3-61

Chapter 4. Assessment of Trends in Sediment Contamination Throughout the United States 4-1

Introduction 4-1

Database Trend Analysis 4-1

Results 4-6

Sediment Core Analysis 4-9

Results 4-11

Discussion 4-15

Chapter 5. Remediation Considerations 5-1

Chapter 6. Conclusions and Discussion 6-1

Extent of Sediment Contamination 6-3

Sources of Sediment Contamination 6-4

Other Studies Evaluating the Extent of Sediment Contamination 6-6

Other Studies Indicating Sediment Contamination 6-7

Chapter 7. Recommendations 7-1

Recommendation 1: Refine the Assessment of the Extent and Severity of Sediment Contamination in the 88 Targeted Watersheds 7-1

Recommendation 2: Continue to Promote Watershed Management Programs to Address Sediment Contamination 7-2
Recommendation 3: Develop Better Coordination Within the EPA on Activities and Research in the Contaminated Sediments Area 7-3
Recommendation 4: Continue to Develop Better Monitoring and Assessment Tools 7-4
Recommendation 5: Incorporate a Weight-of-Evidence Approach and Measures of Chemical Bioavailability Into Sediment Monitoring Programs 7-6
Recommendation 6: Continue to Increase the NSI's Coverage 7-7
Recommendation 7: Assess Atmospheric Deposition of Sediment Contaminants 7-8
References References-1
Appendix A. National Sediment Inventory Field Description A-1
Appendix B. Description of Evaluation Parameters Used in the NSI Data Evaluation B-1
Aquatic Life Assessments B-1
Equilibrium Partitioning Approaches B-1
Human Health Assessments B-16
References B-20
Appendix C. Values Used for Chemicals Evaluated C-1
Sediment Values C-1
Fish Tissue Concentrations C-1
Biota-Sediment Accumulation Factors C-1
Methodology for Combining Chemical Data Using a Risk-Based Approach C-1
Frequency of Detection C-2
References C-2
Appendix D. Species Characteristics Related to NSI Bioaccumulation Data D-1
Appendix E. Trend Analysis Case Studies E-1
Introduction E-1
Cases Studies E-1
Hg Loading to Lake Pepin from the Upper Mississippi River E-1
Historical Trends in Organochlorine Compounds from Four Georgia Lakes E-3
Accumulation of Chemicals in Puget Sound E-6
References E-9

Tables

Table 1. USGS Cataloging Unit Number and Names for Watersheds Containing APCs xix

Table 2-1. Number of Stations Evaluated in the NSI by State 2-6

Table 2-2. NSI Data Evaluation Approach 2-11

Table 3-1. National Assessment: Evaluation Results for Sampling Stations and River Reaches by EPA Region 3-3

Table 3-2. Tier Classification Summary 3-6

Table 3-3. USGS Cataloging Unit Number and Names for Watersheds Containing APCs 3-10

Table 3-4. River Reaches with 10 or More Tier 1 Sampling Stations Located in Watersheds Containing APCs 3-12

Table 3-5. Watersheds with APCs: Comparison of Previous Report to Congress and Current Analysis. 3-14

Table 3-6. Region 1: River Reach and Watershed Classification Summary 3-16

Table 3-7. Region 1: Evaluation Results for Sampling Stations and River Reaches by State 3-17

Table 3-8. Region 1: Watersheds Containing Areas of Probable Concern for Sediment Contamination 3-19

Table 3-9. Region 1: Water Bodies With Sampling Stations Classified as Tier 1 Located in Watersheds Containing APCs 3-19

Table 3-10. Region 2: River Reach and Watershed Classification Summary 3-20

Table 3-11. Region 2: Evaluation Results for Sampling Stations and River Reaches by State 3-21

Table 3-12. Region 2: Watersheds Containing Areas of Probable Concern for Sediment Contamination 3-23

Table 3-13. Region 2: Water Bodies with Sampling Stations Classified as Tier 1 Located in Watersheds Containing APCs 3-23

Table 3-14. Region 3: River Reach and Watershed Classification Summary 3-25

Table 3-15. Region 3: Evaluation Results for Sampling Stations and River Reaches by State 3-26

Table 3-16. Region 3: Watersheds Containing Areas of Probable Concern for Sediment Contamination 3-28

Table 3-17. Region 3: Water Bodies with Sampling Stations Classified as Tier 1 Located in Watersheds Containing APCs 3-28

Table 3-18. Region 4: River Reach and Watershed Classification Summary 3-29

Table 3-19. Region 4: Evaluation Results for Sampling Stations and River Reaches by State 3-30

Table 3-20. Region 4: Watersheds Containing Areas of Probable Concern for Sediment Contamination 3-32
Table 3-21. Region 4: Water Bodies with Sampling Stations Classified as Tier 1 Located in Watersheds Containing APCs 3-33
Table 3-22. Region 5: River Reach and Watershed Classification Summary 3-34
Table 3-23. Region 5: Evaluation Results for Sampling Stations and River Reaches by State 3-35
Table 3-24. Region 5: Watersheds Containing Areas of Probable Concern for Sediment Contamination 3-37
Table 3-25. Region 5: Water Bodies with Sampling Stations Classified as Tier 1 Located in Watersheds Containing APCs 3-37
Table 3-26. Region 6: River Reach and Watershed Classification Summary 3-39
Table 3-27. Region 6: Evaluation Results for Sampling Stations and River Reaches by State 3-40
Table 3-28. Region 6: Watersheds Containing Areas of Probable Concern for Sediment Contamination 3-42
Table 3-29. Region 6: Water Bodies with Sampling Stations Classified as Tier 1 Located in Watersheds Containing APCs 3-42
Table 3-30. Region 7: River Reach and Watershed Classification Summary 3-43
Table 3-31. Region 7: Evaluation Results for Sampling Stations and River Reaches by State 3-44
Table 3-32. Region 7: Watersheds Containing Areas of Probable Concern for Sediment Contamination 3-46
Table 3-33. Region 7: Water Bodies with Sampling Stations Classified as Tier 1 Located in Watersheds Containing APCs 3-46
Table 3-34. Region 8: River Reach and Watershed Classification Summary 3-47
Table 3-35. Region 8: Evaluation Results for Sampling Stations and River Reaches by State 3-48
Table 3-36. Region 8: Watersheds Containing Areas of Probable Concern for Sediment Contamination 3-50
Table 3-37. Region 8: Water Bodies with Sampling Stations Classified as Tier 1 Located in Watersheds Containing APCs 3-50
Table 3-38. Region 9: River Reach and Watershed Classification Summary 3-51
Table 3-39. Region 9: Evaluation Results for Sampling Stations and River Reaches by State 3-52
Table 3-40. Region 9: Watersheds Containing Areas of Probable Concern for Sediment Contamination 3-54
Table 3-41. Region 9: Water Bodies with Sampling Stations Classified as Tier 1 Located in Watersheds Containing APCs 3-54
Table 3-42. Region 10: River Reach and Watershed Classification Summary 3-56

Table 3-43. Region 10: Evaluation Results for Sampling Stations and River Reaches by State 3-57

Table 3-44. Region 10: Watersheds Containing Areas of Probable Concern for Sediment Contamination 3-59

Table 3-45. Region 10: Water Bodies with Sampling Stations Classified as Tier 1 Located in Watersheds Containing APCs 3-59

Table 3-46. Summary of Tier Classification Using Previous and Current Evaluation Methodologies with the NSI Data Evaluated in the Previous Report to Congress. 3-61

Table 3-47. Transition in Tier Classification Using Previous and Current Evaluation Methodologies with the NSI Data Evaluated in the Previous Report to Congress. 3-62

Table 4-1. Number of Predicted Proportion Toxic Observations Available for Trend Analysis After Data Preparation Step. 4-4

Table 4-2. Number of Predicted Proportion Toxic Observations Available for Trend Analysis After Data Preparation Step from Concentrated Data Clusters. 4-5

Table 4-3. Number of Observations Classified by Tier and Percentage of Observations Classified as Tier 1 or Tier 2 by Time Period and Hydrologic Region. 4-6

Table 4-4. Summary of Statistical Tests Used to Compare Predicted Proportion Toxic Within Hydrologic Regions. 4-8

Table 4-5. Sediment core locations 4-10

Table 6-1. Example MACT Estimated Mercury Emission Reductions 6-5

Table 6-2. Example MACT Estimated Air Toxics Emission Reductions 6-5

Table A-1. Number of Sampling Stations with Data Included in the NSI Evaluation. A-2

Table A-2. Data Tables Available in the NSI. A-2

Table B-1. EPA Aquatic Life Secondary Acute/Chronic Values (SAV/SCV), Final Acute/ Chronic Values (FAV/FCV), Draft Equilibrium Partitioning Sediment Guideline (ESG), Log K_{ow} , and Log K_{oc} Values B-4

Table B-2. EPA Aquatic Life Final Acute/Chronic Values (FAV/FCV), and Effect Concentration of PAH in Sediment (C_{oc}), Log K_{ow}, and Log K_{oc} for PAH Mixtures B-6

Table B-3. Relative Distribution of $\Sigma ESGTU_{FCV,TOT}$ to $\Sigma ESGTU_{FCV,13}$ for the Combined EMAP Data Set (N = 488) B-6

Table B-4. Logistic Regression Model Coefficients (Field et al., 2001 [in press]) B-11

Table B-5. Species Used in Bulk Sediment Toxicity Tests B-14

Table B-6. Minimum Detectable Differences (MDDs) Calculated from Round Robin Test Data B-16

Table C-1. Screening Values for Chemicals Evaluated C-3
Table C-2. Toxic Equivalency Factors for Dioxins and Furans C-9
Table C-3. Frequency of Detection of Chemicals in Sediment and Tissue Residue C-10
Table D-1. Species Characteristics Related to Tissue Residue Data. D-2
Table E-1. Total Hg Concentrations (ng/g) in Lake Pepin Sediment Cores. E-2
Table E-2. Table 3. PCB Concentrations (µg/kg) in Lake Sediments. E-4
Table E-3. DDT Concentrations (µg/kg) in Lake Sediments. E-5
Table E-4. Chlordane Concentrations (µg/kg) in Lake Sediments. E-5
Table E-5 . Maximum and Surface Concentrations (µg/g) of Selected Metalsfor Three Core Locations Collected During 1991. . . . . E-7
Table E-6 . Maximum and Surface Concentrations (µg/g) of Selected Organic Contaminants for Three Core Locations Collected During 1991. . . . . E-9

Figures

Figure 1. National Assessment: Percent of River Reaches That Include Tier 1, Tier 2, and Tier 3 Sampling Stations xvii

Figure 2. Watersheds Identified as Containing APCs xviii

Figure 1-1. Areas of Concern in the Great Lakes-St. Lawrence River Basin 1-5

Figure 2-1. NSI Sediment Sampling Stations Evaluated 2-7

Figure 2-2. NSI Tissue Residue Sampling Stations Evaluated 2-8

Figure 2-3. NSI Toxicity Test Stations Evaluated 2-9

Figure 3-1. Location of All Evaluated NSI Sampling Stations 3-2

Figure 3-2. Sampling Stations Classified as Tier 1 (Associated Adverse Effects Are Probable) 3-4

Figure 3-3. National Assessment: Percent of River Reaches That Include Tier 1, Tier 2, and Tier 3 Sampling Stations 3-5

Figure 3-4. National Assessment: Watershed Classifications 3-9

Figure 3-5. Watersheds Identified as Containing APCs 3-11

Figure 3-6. Region 1: Location of Sampling Stations Classified as Tier 1 or Tier 2 and Watersheds Containing APCs 3-18

Figure 3-7. Region 2: Location of Sampling Stations Classified as Tier 1 or Tier 2 and Watersheds Containing APCs 3-22

Figure 3-8. Region 3: Location of Sampling Stations Classified as Tier 1 or Tier 2 and Watersheds Containing APCs 3-27

Figure 3-9. Region 4: Location of Sampling Stations Classified as Tier 1 or Tier 2 and Watersheds Containing APCs 3-31

Figure 3-10. Region 5: Location of Sampling Stations Classified as Tier 1 or Tier 2 and Watersheds Containing APCs 3-36

Figure 3-11. Region 6: Location of Sampling Stations Classified as Tier 1 or Tier 2 and Watersheds Containing APCs 3-41

Figure 3-12. Region 7: Location of Sampling Stations Classified as Tier 1 or Tier 2 and Watersheds Containing APCs 3-45

Figure 3-13. Region 8: Location of Sampling Stations Classified as Tier 1 or Tier 2 and Watersheds Containing APCs 3-49

Figure 3-14. Region 9: Location of Sampling Stations Classified as Tier 1 or Tier 2 and Watersheds Containing APCs 3-53

Figure 3-15. Region 10: Location of Sampling Stations Classified as Tier 1 or Tier 2 and Watersheds Containing APCs 3-58

Figure 4-1. Locations of Data Clusters Used for Temporal Trend Analysis 4-3

Figure 4-2. USGS Hydrologic Regions in Contiguous United States 4-4

Figure 4-3. Locations of Concentrated Data Clusters Used for Temporal Trend Analysis 4-5
Figure 4-4. Box Plot of Predicted Proportion Toxic as a Function of Hydrologic Region for Data Clusters 4-7
Figure 4-5. Box Plot of Predicted Proportion Toxic as a Function of Hydrologic Region for Concentrated Data Clusters 4-7
Figure 4-6. Box Plot of Predicted Proportion Toxic as a Function of Region for EMAP Data 4-9
Figure 4-7. PAH trends throughout the United States 4-12
Figure 4-8. White Rock Lake PAH concentrations 4-12
Figure 4-9. DDT trends throughout the United States 4-13
Figure 4-10. White Rock Lake DDT concentrations 4-13
Figure 4-11. Lead trends throughout the United States 4-14
Figure 4-12. White Rock Lake Lead concentrations 4-14
Figure 5-1. Types of Waterbodies addressed at Superfund Sites 5-3
Figure 5-2. Relative Frequency of Uses of Waterbodies addressed at Superfund Sites 5-3
Figure 5-3a. Contaminants Superfund Sediment Cleanup Decisions cited as Contributing to the Greatest Human Health Risk 5-4
Figure 5-3b. Contaminants Superfund Sediment Cleanup Decisions cited as Contributing to the Greatest Ecological Risk 5-4
Figure 5-4. Types of Cleanup methods selected at Superfund Sediment Sites 5-4
Figure 5-5. Range of Sediment Volumes (measured in cubic yards) dredged per Superfund dredging site 5-5
Figure 5-6. Range of Costs associated with Superfund Sediment Cleanups 5-5
Figure A-1. Relationship between the station, sediment chemistry, tissue residue, and toxicity tables, and the related look-up tables A-3
Figure B-1. Application of the logistic model to freshwater data for *Hyalella* 10- to 14-day Survival Endpoint B-13
Figure B-2. Application of the logistic model to freshwater data for *Hyalella* 28-day Growth and Survival Endpoint B-13
Figure E-1. Lake Pepin location map E-1
Figure E-2. Historical Mercury Loading Rates in the Upper Mississippi River Reconstructed from Sediments of Lake Pepin E-2
Figure E-3. Location Map of Upper Chattahoochee Basin E-3
Figure E-4. Location map of Puget Sound E-6